IRON BINDING AND RECEPTOR: LACTOFERRIN AND TONB RECEPTORS

Shashank Rana^{1}, Shrikant Sharma¹ and Ragvendar Singh²

*1School of Life Science, Singhania University, Jhunjhunu, (RJ)
²Department of Biochemistry, National Research Centre on Camel, Biakner (R.J)

*Corresponding Author: Shashank.bioinfo@gmail.com

This article is available online at <u>www.ssjournals.com</u>

ABSTRACT

In this communication, we discuss about biological, structural and other properties of the lactoferrin (LF). It includes role in iron metabolism, cell proliferation and differentiation. LTF also works as an antibacterial, antiviral and antiparasitic molecule. LF is an iron-binding protein that has been identified in secretions from exocrine glands and in specific granules of neutrophils. On the other hand, TonB-dependent receptors is a family of beta-barrel proteins from the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria and work as transporters of iron binding protein like LF across the outer membrane at the expense of energy, which is provided by the inner-membrane anchored TonB complex. LF concentrations have been measured mostly in humans but also in other species like camel, cow etc.

Keywords: LTF, neutrophils, TonB-dependent receptors, beta-barrel proteins, antibacterial, antiviral, antiparasitic

1. Introduction

Lactoferrin (LF) also known as lactotransferrin (LTF), is an iron-binding protein from milk, structurally similar to the transferrins. It is a globular, multifunctional protein with antimicrobial activity (bacteriocide, fungicide) and is part of the innate defense, mainly at mucoses⁸⁷. LF is also present in secondary granules of PMN and also is secreted by some acinar cells. LF can be purified from milk or produced recombinantly. Human colostrum has the highest concentration, followed by human milk, then cow milk. TonB-dependent receptors are a family of beta-barrel proteins from the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. The TonB complex senses signals from outside the bacterial cell and transmits them via two membranes into the cytoplasm, leading to transcriptional activation of target genes. LF is an iron-binding glycoprotein present in various secretions (e.g. milk, tears, saliva, pancreatic juice, etc.). It is also stored in specific granules of polymorph nuclear granulocytes from which it is released following activation. LF exerts an antibactericidal activity by damaging the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria, immunoregulatory functions by decreasing the release of interleukin-1 (IL-1), IL-2 and tumor necrosis factor- (TNF-) and enhancing monocyte and natural killer cell cytotoxicity. LF binds with high affinity to lipid A, the toxic moiety of the lipopolysaccharide or endotoxin from Gram-

production and release of TNF- that plays an important role in inducing septic shock. In this respect, it has been recently demonstrated that LF inhibits the lipopolysaccharide interaction with CD14 on monocytes/macrophages by competition with the lipopolysaccharide binding protein. Therefore, besides its bactericidal activity, LF may also act by neutralizing the toxic effects of lipopolysaccharide and this protective role against endotoxin lethal shock has been demonstrated in animal models. Moreover, in vitro and in vivo neutralization of endotoxin by a human LF-derived peptide was also reported and LF or LF-derived peptides could represent useful tools for the treatment of endotoxin-induced septic shock. The recent production and characterization of monoclonal antibodies against different epitopes of human LF, including monoclonal antibodies selectively neutralizing LF binding to lipid A, may allow a better elucidation of the consequence of lactoferrin-lipopolysaccharide interaction. LF is also present in secondary granules of PMN and also is secreted by some acinar cells. LF can be purified from milk or produced recombinantly. Human colostrum ("first milk") has the highest concentration, followed by human milk, then cow's milk¹⁴. LTF is a glycoprotein, and a member of a transferrin family, thus belonging to those proteins capable of binding and

negative bacteria. Lipopolysaccharide interaction

with monocytes/macrophages results in the

transferring Fe³⁺ ions⁵⁵. LF was first isolated by Sorensen and Sorensen from bovine milk in 1939⁷⁵. In 1960, it was concurrently determined to be the main iron binding protein in human milk by three independent laboratories 58. Subsequent research identified LF in secretions from exocrine glands and in specific granules of neutrophils. Neutrophils after degranulation were observed to be the main source of LF in blood plasma³⁸. Due to the increase in its concentration during most inflammatory reactions and some viral infections, several authors classify LF as an acute-phase protein 40 . Its concentration increases in all biological fluids, but the highest levels have been detected in the nidus of inflammation¹⁴. Thus, LF has a wide variety of biological functions, many of which do not appear to be connected with its iron binding ability¹⁷.

LF is a glycoprotein with a molecular weight of about 80 kDa, which shows high affinity for iron. The molecular structure and amino acid sequence of human LF were discovered in 1984. LF was then classified as a member of the transferrin family, due to its 60% sequence identity with serum transferrin⁵⁵. Three different isoforms of LF have been isolated. Lactoferrin-a is the iron binding form, but has no ribonuclease activity. On the other hand, lactoferrin-ß and lactoferrin-y demonstrate ribonuclease activity but they are not able to bind iron²⁸. LF is comprised of a single polypeptide chain containing 703 amino acids folded into two globular lobes. These lobes, also called C -(carboxy) and N - (amino) terminal regions are connected with α -helix. Each lobe consists of two domains known as C1, C2, N1, and N2. The domains create one iron binding site on each lobe. LF molecules contain (according to the species and protein) varying numbers of sites for potential glycosylation, mostly on the surface of the molecule. The most common saccharide is mannose; around 3% are hexoses, and 1% hexosamines. LF's capability of binding iron is two times higher than that of transferrin, which can serve in some cases as donor of Fe³⁺ ions for LF. Two ferric ions can be bound by one LF molecule. One carbonate ion is always bound by LF concurrently with each ferric $ion^{7,55}$. Although this bond is very strong and can resist pH values of as low as 4, its saturation does not exceed 10% in total⁵⁴. There are three forms of according to its iron saturation: LF apolactoferrin (iron free), monoferric form (one ferric ion), and hololactoferrin (binds two Fe^{3+} ions). The tertiary structure in hololactoferrin

IJBR 3[07] [2012]

and apolactoferrin is different ³⁹. Four amino acid residues are most important for iron binding (histidine, twice tyrosine, and aspartic acid), while an arginine chain is responsible for binding the carbonate ion^{84} . Besides iron, LF is capable of binding a large amount of other compounds and substances such as lipopolysacharides, heparin, glycosaminoglycans, DNA, or other metal ions like Al^{3+} , Ga^{3+} , Mn^{3+} , Co^{3+} , Cu^{2+} , Zn^{2+} etc. However, its affinity for these other ions is much lower. Apart from CO3²⁻, LF can bind to a variety of other anions like oxalates, carboxylates and others. In this way it is possible for LF to affect the metabolism and distribution of various substances. The ability to keep iron bound even at low pH is important, especially at sites of infection and inflammation where, due to the metabolic activity of bacteria, the pH may fall under 4.5. In such a situation, LF also binds iron released from transferrin, which prevents its further usage for bacterial proliferation ⁸¹.LF expression can first be detected in two- and fourcell embryos during embryonic development, then throughout the blastocyst stage up to implantation. LF cannot be detected from the time of implantation until halfway through gestation. Later, it is found in neutrophils and epithelial cells of forming reproductive and digestive systems⁸⁵. The predominant cell types involved in LF synthesis are of the myeloid series and secretory epithelia¹⁰. In adults, higher levels of LF are present in milk and colostrum¹⁷. It is also found in most mucosal secretions such as uterine fluid, vaginal secretion, seminal fluid, saliva, bile, pancreatic juice, small intestine secretions, nasal secretion, and tears⁸. The production of LF by human kidneys was described first by Abrink et al.¹. LF is expressed and secreted throughout the collecting tubules, and in the distal part of the tubules it may be reabsorbed. These results show that the kidney produces LF in a highly ordered manner and that only a minor fraction of this protein is secreted into the urine. Therefore, LF is thought to have important functions in both the immune defence of the urinary tract and in general iron metabolism. Neutrophils are an important source of LF in adults. Indeed, most plasma LF neutrophils³⁸. originates from LF is predominantly stored in specific (secondary) granules⁶. However, it can also be found in tertiary granules albeit in significantly lower concentrations⁶⁷. LF is present in blood, plasma or serum in relatively low concentrations^{20,71}. Plasma LF concentrations may or may not

correlate with the neutrophil count¹⁰. This depends on the extent of degranulation and perhaps on the contribution of other organs, such as bone marrow, endometrium⁵² and placenta⁶⁰. LF plasma levels change during pregnancy, and vary also with the menstrual cycle⁴⁸. The concentration of LF in the blood increases during infection, inflammation¹⁴, excessive intake of iron, or tumour growth⁴⁸.

The regulation of LF synthesis depends on the type of cells producing this protein. The amount of LF synthesized in the mammary gland is controlled by prolactin³⁰. Its production in reproductive tissues is determined by estrogens ⁸⁰. The synthesis of LF in endometrium is influenced by not only estrogens but also epidermal growth factor⁵⁹. Exocrine glands produce and secrete LF in a continuous manner. In neutrophils, LF is synthesized during their differentiation (when promyelocytes develop into myelocytes) and is afterwards stored in specific granules. Mature neutrophils cease to produce LF ⁵². LF levels might vary with gender and age although the results from different studies are inconsistent³. LF plasma levels change from the very beginning of pregnancy. There is a progressive rise in its concentration up to the 29th week, after which it settles at a constant level that is higher than the average 78 .

The biological properties of LF are mediated by specific receptors on the surface of target cells. These receptors are typical for each cell type and can be found, for example, on mucosal epithelial cells, hepatocytes, monocytes, macrophages, polymorphonuclear leukocytes, lymphocytes, thrombocytes, fibroblasts, and on some bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus or Pseudomonas hydrophila". Some cells have also "main receptors", which enable them to bind not only LF, but also transferrin or LF of other species. Besides "classic" receptors, there are also nuclear receptors that bind leukocyte cmDNA⁴⁰. There are two ways in which LF can be eliminated from the organism; either through receptor-mediated endocytosis of phagocytic cells (macrophages, monocytes and other cells belonging to the reticuloendothelial system) with subsequent iron transfer to ferritin or through direct uptake by the liver. Endocytosis performed by Kupffer cells, liver endothelial cells and hepatocytes contribute to LF removal ⁴⁸. Kidneys seem to be involved in the removal of LF from the circulation since LF and its fragments, mainly of maternal origin, have been found in the urine of breast-fed infants³⁷.

In humans, the lactoferrin gene (LTF) is located on chromosome 3; location: 3q21-q23. LF concentration is quite high in milk (up to 10 6 mg/ml), other epithelial secretions, neutrophil granules and blood plasma. It is also a major protein of other barrier liquids such as tears. saliva, and nasal-gland secretions. Lower LF concentrations were found in blood plasma¹⁴. LF is stored in neutrophil granules, and pathogenic microorganisms stimulate its release Regulation of LF production depends on the type of LF producing cell. For example, regulating LF expression in estrogen. reproductive tract tissues does not influence LF production by mammary gland cells; prolactin stimulates LF secretion during lactation ³⁰. The level of these proteins significantly increases during almost all inflammatory processes and some viral diseases; this increase obviously reflects the activation of the body resistance system against these diseases. Increase of LF concentration in blood, tears, and saliva may be used in clinical practice for evaluation of the dynamics of inflammatory processes and the effectiveness of medical treatment. LF level increases when inflammation occurs. In such an environment, iron exchange from transferrin is easier due to the lower pH suggesting that LF may contribute to local iron accumulation at sites of inflammation¹⁸. LF has long been known to be responsible for hypoferraemia through binding free iron and shuttling it back to macrophages⁸³.

LF from human milk seems to affect intestinal iron absorption in infants, but it depends on the organisms need for iron. Specific receptors (SI-LfR), present on enterocytes, mediate binding of lactoferrin. After LF is bound to the enterocyte, 90% of it is degraded and Fe^{3+} ions are released. The remaining intact 10% is transported through the cell membrane. A lack of intracellular iron may evoke increased expression of specific receptors on the surface of enterocytes and thereby elevated absorption of LF-bound iron ⁷⁷. Breast-fed infants have demonstrated better iron accessibility than babies on formula²⁷. Indeed, a possible suppressive effect of LF on absorption is described because higher iron absorption has been reported in infants fed with LF-free human milk. Even though LF does not play the most important role in iron metabolism, its capability of binding Fe³⁺ ions has a significant influence on many of its other biological properties.

Antimicrobial activity: LF also takes part in specific immune reactions, but in an indirect way ⁴⁶. Due to its strategic position on the

mucosal surface, LF represents one of the first defence systems against microbial agents invading the organism mostly via mucosal tissues. LF affects the growth and proliferation of a variety of infectious agents including both Gram-positive and negative bacteria, viruses, protozoa, or fungi ⁴². Its ability to bind free iron, which is one of the elements essential for the growth of bacteria, is responsible for the bacteriostatic effect of LF⁴. Lack of iron inhibits the growth of iron-dependent bacteria such as E. *coli*¹⁷. In contrast, LF may serve as iron donor and in this manner support the growth of some bacteria with lower iron demands such as Lactobacillus sp. or Bifid bacterium sp.⁷². Nevertheless, some bacteria are able to adapt to the new conditions and release siderophores (iron chelating compounds of bacterial origin) that compete with lactoferrin for Fe^{3+} ions ⁶³. Some other types of bacteria, including Neisseriaceae family adapt to new conditions by expressing specific receptors capable of binding LF and to cause changes in the tertiary structure of the LF molecule leading to iron dissociation ²⁵. Even a bactericidal effect of LF has been described. This bactericidal activity is not irondependent and may be mediated through more than one pathway. Receptors for the N-terminal region of LF have been discovered on the surface of some microorganisms. The binding of LF to these receptors induces cell-death in Gram-negative bacteria due to disruption in the cell wall. The subsequent release of lipopolysacharide (LPS) leads to impaired permeability and a higher sensitivity to lysozyme and other antimicrobial agents ⁴⁷. LPS can be disposed of even without the direct contact of LF with the cell surface ⁶⁵. Bactericidal activity affecting Gram-positive bacteria is mediated by electrostatic interactions between the negatively charged lipid layer and the positively charged LF surface that cause changes in the permeability of the membrane⁸¹.

It has been discovered that lactoferricin, a cationic peptide generated by the pepsin digestion of LF, has more potent bactericidal activity than the native protein. There are two forms known at present: lactoferricin H (derived from human LF) and lactoferricin B (of bovine origin) ¹². As a result of the fusion of secondary granules with phagosomes, LF becomes an iron provider for the catalysis of free radical production and thereby increases the intracellular bactericidal activity of neutrophils ⁶⁶. In vitro LF is able to prevent Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm formation. Lack of iron in

the environment forces bacteria to move. Therefore, they cannot adhere to surfaces⁷³. LF may contribute to defence against the invasion of facultative intracellular bacteria into cells by binding both target cell membrane glycoaminoglycans and bacterial invasions. which prevents pathogen adhesion to target cells. This ability was first reported against entero invasive E. coli HB 101 and later against Yersinia enterocolica, Yersinia pseudotuberculosis. Listeria monocytogenes, Streptococcus pyogenes, and Staphylococcus aureus⁸¹. The proteolytic activity of LF is considered to inhibit the growth of some bacteria such as Shigella flexneri or enteropathogenic E.coli through degrading proteins necessary for colonization. However, this can be disabled by serine protease inhibitors^{62,86}.

LF is capable of binding to certain DNA and RNA viruses ⁹¹. Nevertheless, its main contribution to antiviral defence consists in its binding to cell membrane glycosaminoglycans. In this manner, LF prevents viruses from entering cells and infection is stopped at an early stage⁸⁶. Such a mechanism has been demonstrated as being effective against the *Herpes* simplex virus^{29,51}, cytomegaloviruses ², and the human immunodeficiency virus³⁴, respectively. LF acts against parasites in various ways. For example, the infectivity of Toxoplasma gondii and Eimeria stiedai sporozoites is reduced after their incubation with lactoferricin B. It is thought that lactoferricin breaches parasitic membrane integrity causing subsequent changes in interactions between the host and the parasite ⁶¹. The competition for iron between the parasite and LF is the basis of its antiparasitic activity against *Pneumocystis carinii*²¹. In contrast, some parasites such as Tritrichomonas foetus are able to use LF as a donor of ferric ions⁷⁹

Due to its iron binding properties and interactions with target cells and molecules, LF can both positively and negatively influence immune system cells and cells involved in the inflammation reaction. In one way, LF may support the proliferation, differentiation and activation of immune system cells and strengthen the immune response. On the other hand, LF acts as an anti-inflammatory factor. LF may prevent the development of inflammation and subsequent tissue damage caused by the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines and reactive oxygen species⁴⁶. The protective effect of LF is manifested in a reduced production of some pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF α) or interleukins IL-1 β and IL-6 ^{35, 50}. An increased amount of antiinflammatory interleukin IL-10 has also been reported in several cases. Iron is essential as a catalyst for the production of reactive oxygen species. Therefore, LF can diminish the harmful influence of reactive oxygen species produced by leukocytes at the sites of inflammation ⁸⁶. There are conflicting views regarding the influence of LF on lymphocyte proliferation. While Esaguy *et al.*, ²⁶ report a stimulatory effect; Ashorn *et al.*, ⁵ and Richie *et al.*, ⁶⁴ suggest an inhibitory role.

LF has even been reported to inhibit the development of experimental metastases in mice ⁸⁹. LF is able to halt the growth of human mammary gland carcinoma cells between the G1 and S stage. Such a negative effect on cell proliferation may be ascribed to the altered expression or activity of regulatory proteins²³. The LF-dependent, cytokine-mediated stimulation of activity of NK cells and lymphocytes CD4+ and CD8+, represents an important factor in defence against tumor growth. There is an increased number of these cells both in blood and lymphatic tissue after the oral administration of LF. Damiens et al.23 opine that smaller concentrations of LF (10 µg/ml) stimulate the cytolysis of tumor cells, whereas cvtolvsis seems to be dependent on the cell phenotype at 100 µg/ml. Very high doses may reduce the cytotoxic activity of NK cells. The result of LF influence on tumor cells is equal to the sum of NK cell activation and sensitivity of target cells to lysis.

In the past, LF was thought to support cell proliferation due to its ability to transport iron into cells. However, LF was later proven to act as a growth factor activator. The effect of LF alone on small intestine epithelial cells is more potent than that of the epidermal growth factor ³¹. LF alone (without the presence of any other cytokines and factors) is able to stimulate the proliferation of endometrium stroma cells ⁹⁰. LF has also been identified as a transcription factor. It is able to penetrate a cell and activate the transcription of specific DNA sequences³⁶.

LF has been identified as a potent anabolic factor affecting osteocytes. LF stimulates osteoblast proliferation, enhances thymidine incorporation into osteocytes and reduces apoptosis of osteoblasts by 50–70%. A similar effect was also recorded in chondrocytes ²². LF reduces or even inhibits osteoclastogenesis in a concentration-dependent fashion. On the other hand, LF shows no influence on the bone resorption performed by mature osteoclasts ⁴⁹.

Besides direct influence, LF may affect bone cells through the inhibition of osteolytic cytokines such as TNF α or IL-1 β , whose levels rise during inflammation. Thus, LF contributes to the stabilization of the osseous tissue. Because of these aforementioned properties, LF might be potentially useful in the treatment of diseases such as osteoporosis in the future²².

A remarkable similarity in some motifs between LF and ribonuclease A has been revealed. LF is indeed, capable of RNA hydrolysis. The ribonuclease activity varies depending on the type of RNA. mRNA is the most sensitive to LF, whereas tRNA is the least. The non-iron-binding isoforms of LF seem to be responsible for RNA degradation²². As mentioned, LF was discovered first in bovine and later in human milk. Most research has been carried out in the human field. followed by bovine milk. In other animal species, information regarding LF levels is very scarce. Different methods have been used to either detect or even measure LF. The relationships between LF concentrations and gender, age or inflammatory processes have been examined with contradictory results. LF concentrations in adult human blood were reported to be in the range of 0.02-1.52 µg/ml depending on the method used. Human LF venous plasma, colostrum and milk concentrations were determined to be 0.12 µg/ml, 3.1-6.7 mg/ml, and 1.0-3.2 mg/ml, respectively ⁴⁸. A wide range of LF concentrations have been determined in healthy bovine milk. The values vary from 1.15 μ g/ml³¹ to 485.63 μ g/ml in milk from healthy animals. LF was shown to be significantly associated with the stage of lactation (r = 0.557) and daily milk production $(r = -0.472)^{19}$. Its concentration increased many times (even to 100 mg/ml) during mammary gland involution⁸⁸. LF levels in mare colostrum, in the serum of newborns, and in three day old foals were also measured. The obtained results were 21.7 μ g/ml, 0.249 μ g/ml, and 0.445 μ g/ml, respectively⁹. The mean LF concentration was reported to be 0.229 ± 0.135 mg/ml in the camel⁴³, Previously, it had been thought that canine milk did not contain any LF⁵². However, Berlov et al.¹³ succeeded in detecting LF in canine milk. The concentration was lower (40 µg/ml) than in human milk. Coincidently, Sinkora et al.,⁷⁴ were able to detect LF in canine, swine and bovine neutrophils using flow cytometry and commercially available rabbit anti-human polyclonal antisera. Nevertheless, much research and many experiments still need to be carried out in order to obtain a better

understanding of its activity and interactions and to enable the full and safe utilization of this glycoprotein. According to Latorre *et al.*,⁴⁴, LF is a natural defence component of the innate immunity only found in mammals. This exclusive characteristic has suggested that this molecule could be involved in newborn nutrition and protection. These multiple functions rely not only on the capacity to sequester iron, but also on its property to interact with molecular and cellular components of both host and pathogens, including endo-toxins and their receptors. In this respect, the ability of LF to bind LPS or limit its *in vitro* interaction with LBP and sCD14 suggests that LF behaves as a versatile molecule by efficiently suppressing endotoxin-induced excessive immune reaction in sepsis or promoting, in particular conditions, a protective response against pathogen challenge (Figure 1.1).





A schematic representation of LF interaction with LPS highlighting the multitasking strategy of LF to maintain immune homeostasis. LF behaves as a versatile molecule by efficiently suppressing endotoxin-induced excessive immune reaction in sepsis or promoting, in particular conditions, a protective response against pathogen challenge.

According to Legrand and Mazurier⁴⁶, LF possesses pleiotropic roles which turn it into either a weapon or a shield in the host defence The beneficial effects of LF system administered in prevention or treatment of infectious pathologies have led to many applications for health. These applications have emphasized the importance of LF in the regulation of immunity but most of them poorly account for the actual activities of hostexpressed LF in this control. It appears that LF acts as an anti-microbial and antioxidant molecule, not only through direct interactions with microbes or through its iron-binding capability, but also by stimulating the migration and functions of cells of the innate and adaptive immunities. The immuno-modulatory properties of LF are mainly related to its PAMPs (mostly LPS) binding ability which generally turns LF into an anti-inflammatory molecule able to protect the host from harmful immune responses. Conversely, it may be hypothesized that LF also acts as a vector of PAMPs for immune cell activation. Other putative which still need further mechanisms, investigations, would require signalling or nuclear targeting following interactions with multifunctional or specific cell membrane receptors.

Mucosal surfaces are able to use human LF as a source of iron for growth, a presumed adaptation to the high levels of LF and low levels of free iron in these environments⁵⁶. These pathogens were also able to use human transferrin as a source of iron for growth⁵⁷ that clearly would be advantageous during invasive infection. The discovery of surface receptor proteins in these species that specifically bound host transferrin or $LF^{45,68,69}$ is a critical step in the subsequent characterization of the iron acquisition pathways and ultimately provided avenues for exploring the prevalence of these pathways in other species and their role in survival in the host. The relatively high pI of LF initially identification complicated the and characterization of the LF receptor proteins due to their propensity for nonspecific interactions. Solid phase binding assays and affinity capture experiments were routinely performed under high pH and high salt conditions to reduce nonspecific interactions and as a consequence some interactions were overlooked. The initial identification of the LF receptor from N. meningitidis described a single 100 kDa protein⁶⁸; the integral outer membrane protein is now termed LF binding protein A (LbpA). Similar observations were made when isolating LF receptors from other species⁷⁰. The lipoprotein component of the receptor, now termed LbpB, was only identified by affinity capture when alternate conditions for affinity isolation were explored¹⁶, but resulted in an increased propensity for nonspecific background. Analogous to most transferrin receptors, genes encoding the lactoferrin receptor proteins *lbpA* and *lbpB*, are organized in an operon with *lbpB* preceding lbpA ⁹² with a third gene of unknown function included in the operon in *M. catarrhalis*¹⁶. The ability to use LF

as an iron source is abolished by mutation of the gene encoding the energy transducing protein TonB⁷⁶, the periplasmic iron binding protein FbpA⁴¹ or the integral membrane protein LbpA but not the surface lipoprotein LbpB¹⁶. Thus, the pathway for iron acquisition from LF is illustrated in Figure 1.2, and resembles other TonB-dependent pathways for acquiring iron from transferrin, iron siderophore complexes or B12 (conalbumin).



The lactoferrin receptor is composed of two proteins, the integral OMP LbpA and the lipoprotein LbpB. After binding of lactoferrin to the receptor, iron is released from lactoferrin and transported across the outer membrane at the expense of energy, which is provided by the inner-membrane anchored TonB complex. The iron is bound by the periplasmic FbpA protein and further transported across the inner membrane by an ABC transporter. Both LbpA and LbpB are vaccine candidates

References

- 1. Abrink, M., Larsson, E., Gobl, A., and Hellman, L., (2000). Expression of lactoferrin in the kidney: implications for innate immunity and iron metabolism. *Kidney International*, 57, 2004–2010.
- 2. Andersen, J.H., Osbakk, S.A., Vorland, L.H., Traavik, T., and Gutteberg, T.J., (2001). Lactoferrin and cyclic lactoferricin inhibit the entry of human fibroblasts. *Antiviral Research*, 51, 141–149
- Antonsen, S., Wiggers, P., Dalhoj, J., and Blaabjerg, O., (1993). An enzyme linkedimmunosorbent assay for plasma-lactoferrin. Concentrations in 362 healthy, adult blood donors. Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation, 53, 133–144.
- Arnold, R.R., Brewer, M., and Gauthier, J.J., (1980). Bactericidal activity of human lactoferrin: sensitivity of a variety of microorganisms. Infection and Immunity, 28, 893–898.
- 5. Ashorn, R.G., Eskola, J., Tuohimaa, P.J., and Krohn, K.J., (1986). *Effect of the progesterone-inducible proteins human*

lactoferrin and chicken avidin on lymphocyte proliferation. Human Reproduction, 1, 149–151.

- 6. Baggiolini, M., De, Duve. C., Masson, P.L., and Heremans, J.F., (1970). Association of lactoferrin with specific granules in rabbit heterophil leukocytes. The Journal of Experimental Medicine, 131, 559–570.
- 7. Baker, E.N., (1994). *Structure and reactivity* of transferrins. Advances in Inorganic Chemistry, 41, 389–463.
- Baker, E.N., Baker, H.M., (2005). Molecular structure, binding properties and dynamics of lactoferrin. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 62, 2531–2539.
- Barton, M.H., Hurley, D., Norton, N., Heusner, G., Costa, L., Jones, S., Byars, D., and Watanabe, K., (2006). Serum lactoferrin and immunoglobulin G concentrations in healthy or ill neonatal foals and healthy adult horses. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine, 20, 1457–1462.
- 10. Baynes, R., Bezwoda, W., Bothwell, T., Khan, Q., and Mansoo, R. N., (1986). *The non-immune inflammatory response: serial*

changes in plasma iron, iron-binding capacity, lactoferrin, ferritin and C reactive protein. Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation, 46, 695–704.

- 11. Baynes, R.D., and Bezwoda, W.R., (1994). Lactoferrin and the inflammatory response. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology, 357, 133–141.
- Bellamy, W., Takase, M., Yamauchi, K., Wakabayashi, H., Kawase, K., and Tomita, M., (1992) *Identification of the bactericidal domain of lactoferrin*. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1121, 130–136.
- Berlov, M.N., Korableva, E.S., Andreeva, Y.V., Ovchinnikova, T.V., and Korkyakov, V.N., (2007). Lactoferrin from canine neutrophils: isolation and physicochemical and antimicrobial properties. Biochemistry, 72, 445–451.
- 14. Birgens, H.S., (1985). Lactoferrin in plasma measured by an ELISA technique: evidence that plasma lactoferrin is an indicator of neutrophil turnover and bone marrow activity in acute leukaemia.Scandinavian Journal of Haematology, 34, 326–331.
- Bonnah, R.A, Yu, R.H, Schryvers, A.B., (1995). Biochemical analysis of lactoferrin receptors in the neisseriae: identification of a second bacterial lactoferrin receptor protein. Microbiology and Pathology, 19, 285–297.
- Bonnah, R.A, Wong, H, Loosmore, S.M, Schryvers, A.B., (1999). Characterization of Moraxella (Branhamella) catarrhalis lbpB, lbpA and lactoferrin receptor orf3 isogenic mutants. Infection Immunology, vol.3, 67, 1517–1520
- 17. Brock, J.H., (1980). Lactoferrin in human milk: its role in iron absorption and protection against enteric infection in the newborn 10 infant. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 55, 417–421.
- Brock, J.H., (2002). *The physiology of lactoferrin*. Biochemistry and Cell Biology, 80, 1–6.
- Cheng, J.B., Wang, J.Q., Bu, D.P., Liu, G.L., Zhang, C.G., Wei, H.Y., Zhou, L.Y., and Wang, J.Z., (2008). *Factors affecting the lactoferrin concentration in bovine milk*. Journal of Dairy Science, 91, 970–976.
- Chung, S., Hayward, C., Brock, D.J.H., Van, and Heyningen, V., (1985). A monoclonal antibody-based immunoassay for human lactoferrin. Journal of Immunological Methods, 84, 135–141.
- 21. Cirioni, O., Giacometti, A., Barchiesi, F., and Scalise, G., (2000). *Inhibition of growth of*

Pneumocystis carinii by lactoferrins alone and in combination with pyrimethamine, clarithromycin and minocycline. The Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 46, 577– 582.

- Cornish, J., Callon, K.E., Naot, D., Palmano, K.P., Banovic, T., Bava, U., Watson, M., Lin, J.M., Tong, P.C., Chen, Q., Chan, V.A., Reid, H.E., Fazzalari, N., Baker, H.M., Baker, E.N., Haggararty, N.W., Grey, A.B., and Reid, I.R., (2004). Lactoferrin is a potent regulator of bone cell activity and increases bone formation in vivo. Endocrinology, 145, 4366–4374.
- 23. Damiens, E., El, Yazidi, I., Mazurier, J., Duthille, I., Spik, G., and Boilly Marer, Y., (1999). Lactoferrin inhibits G1 cyclindependent kinases during growth arrest of human breast carcinoma cells. Journal of Cellular Biochemistry, 74, 486–498.
- 24. Devi, A.S., Das, M.R., and Pandit, M.W., (1994). *Lactoferrin contains structural motifs of ribonuclease*. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1205, 275–281.
- 25. Ekins, A., Khan,A.G., Shouldice, S.R., and Schryvers, A.B., (2004).*Lactoferrin receptors in gram-negative bacteria: insights into the iron acquisition process*. Biometals, 17, 235– 243.
- 26. Esaguy, N., Aguas, A.P., Vilanova, M., and Silva, M.T., (1991). Activation of human neutrophils by phorbol ester decreases the cytoplasm compactness and the lactoferrin content of the granulocytes. Journal of Leukocyte Biology, 50, 444–452.
- 27. Fairweathertait, S.J., Balmer, S.E., Scott, P.H., Minski, and M.J., (1987). *Lactoferrin and iron absorption in newborn infants*. Pediatric Research, 22, 651–654.
- 28. Furmanski, P., Li, Z.P., Fortuna, M.B., Swamy, C.V.B., and Das, M.R., (1989). Multiple molecular forms of human lactoferrin, Identification of a class of lactoferrins that possess ribonuclease activity and lack iron-binding capacity. The Journal of Experimental Medicine, 170, 415–429.
- 29. Fujihara, T., and Hayashi, K., (1995). Lactoferrin inhibits herpes simplex virus type-1 (HSV-1) infection to mouse cornea. Archives of Virology, 140, 1469–1472.
- 30. Green, M.R., and Pastewka, J.V., (1978). Lactoferrin is a marker for prolactin response in mouse mammary explants. Endocrinology, 103, 1510–1513.
- 31. Hagiwara, T., Shinoda, I., Fukuwatari, Y., and Shimamura S., (1995). *Effect of*

lactoferrin and its peptides on proliferation of rat intestinal epithelial cell line, IEC-18, in the presence of epidermal growth factor. Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry, 59, 1875–1881.

- 32. Hagiwara, S., Kawai, K., Anri, A., and Nagahata, H., (2003). Lactoferrin concentrations in milk from normal and subclinical mastitic cows. The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science, 65, 319–323.
- 33. Hansen, N.E., Malmquist, J., and Thorell, J., (1975). *Plasma myeloperoxidase and lactoferrin measured by radioim-munoassay: relations to neutrophil kinetics*. Acta Medica Scandinavica, 198, 437–443.
- 34. Harmsen, M.C., Swart, P.J., De, Bethune. M.P., Pauwels, R., De, Clercq E., Theme, T.H., and Meijer, D.K.F., (1995). Antiviral effects of plasma and milk proteins: lactoferrin shows potent activity against both human immunodeficiency virus and human cytomegalovirus replication in vitro. The Journal of Infectious Diseases, 172, 380–388.
- Haversen, L., Ohlsson, B.G., Hahn-Zoric, M., MattsbyBaltzer. I., (2002). Lactoferrin down-regulates the LPS- induced cytokine production in monocytic cells via NF-kappa B. Cellular Immunology, 220, 83– 95.
- 36. He, J., and Furmanski, P., (1995). Sequence specificity and tran-scriptional activation in the binding of lactoferrin to DNA. Nature, 373, 721–724.
- 37. Hutchens, T.W., Henry, J.F., Yip, T.T., Hachey, D.L., Schanler, R.J., Motil, K.J., and Garza, C., (1991). Origin of intact lactoferrin and its DNA-binding fragments found in the urine of human milk-fed preterm infants Evaluation by stable isotopic enrichment. Pediatric Research, 29, 243–250.
- Iyer, S., and Lonnerdal, B., (1993). lactoferrin, *lactoferrin receptors and iron metabolism*. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 47, 232–241.
- 39. Jameson, G,B., Anderson, B.F., Norriss, G.E., Thomas, D.H., Baker, E.N., (1998). Structure of human apolactoferrin at 2.0 A resolution, Refinement and Analysis of ligand-induced conformational change.Veterinarni Medicina, vol. 9, 53, 457–468.
- 40. Kanyshkova, T.G., Buneva, V.N., and Nevinsky, G.A., (2001). *Lactoferrin and its biological functions*. Biochemistry (Moscow), 66,1–7.

- 41. Khun HH, Kirby SD, Lee BC (1998). A Neisseria meningitidis fbpABC mutant is incapable of using nonheme iron for growth. Infect Immun vol.5, 66,2330–2336.
- 42. Kirkpatrick, C.H., Green, I., Rich, R.R., and Schade, A.L., (1971). Inhibition of growth of Candida albicans by iron-unsaturated lactoferrin: relation to host-defense mechanisms in chronic mucocutaneous candidiasis. The Journal of Infectious Diseases, 124, 539–544.
- 43. Konuspayeva, G., Faye, B., Loiseau, G., and Levieux, D., (2007). Lactoferrin and immunoglobulin contents in camel's milk (Camelus bactrianus, Camelus dromedarius, and hybrids) from Kazakhstan. Journal of Dairy Science, 90, 38–46.
- 44. Latorre, D., Puddu, P., Valenti, P., and Gessani S., (2010). *Reciprocal Interactions* between Lactoferrin and Bacterial Endotoxins and Their Role in the Regulation of the Immune Response. Toxins, 2, 54-68.
- 45. Lee, B.C., Schryvers, A.B., (1988). Specificity of the lactoferrin and transferrin receptors in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Molecular Microbiology, 2, 827–829
- 46. Legrand, D., Elass, E., Carpentie, r M., and Mazurier, J., (2005). *Lactoferrin: a modulator of immune and inflammatory responses.* Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 62, 2549–2559.
- 47. Leitch, E.C., Willcox, M.D. (1998). Synergic ntistaphylococcal properties of lactoferrin and lysozyme. Journal of Medical Microbiology, 47, 837–842.
- Levay P.F, Viljoen M., (1995). Lactoferrin: a general review. Haematologica, 80, 252– 267.
- Lorget, F., Clough, J., Oliveira, M., Daury, m.c., Sabokbar, A., and Offord E., (2002). Lactoferrin reduces in vitro osteoclast differentiation and resorbing activity. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 296, 261–266.
- Machnicki, M., Zimecki, M., and Zagulski, T., (1993). Lactoferrin regulates the release of tumour necrosis factor alpha and interleukin 6 in vivo. International Journal of Experimental Pathology, 74, 433–439.
- 51. Marchetti, M., Longhi, C., Conte, M.P., Pisani, S., Valenti, P., and Seganti, L., (1996). Lactoferrin inhibits herpes simplex virus type 1 adsorption to Vero cells. Antiviral Research, 29, 221–231.
- 52. Masson, P.L., Heremans, J.F., and Ferin, j., (1968). *Presence of an iron- binding protein*

(lactoferrin) in the genital tract of the human female. I. Its imunnohistochemical localization in the endometrium. Fertility and Sterility, 19, 679–689.

- 53. Masson, P.L., and Heremans, J.F., (1971). Lactoferrin in milk from different species. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology part B: Comparative Biochemistry, 39, 119– 129.
- 54. Mazurier, J., and Spik, G., (1980). Comparative study of the iron- binding properties of human transferrins: I. Complete and sequential iron saturation and desaturation of the lactotransferrin. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 629, 399– 408.
- 55. Metz-Boutique, M.H., Jolles, J., Mazurier, J., Schoentgen, F.,Legrand, D., Spik, G., Montreuil, J., and Masson, P.L., and Heremans, J.F., (1971). *Lactoferrin in milk from different species*. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology part B: Comparative Biochemistry, 39, 119–129.
- 56. Mickelsen, P.A., Sparling, P.F., (1981). Ability of Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Neisseria meningitidis, and commensal Neisseria species to obtain iron from transferrin and iron compounds. Infection Immunology, 33, 555–564.
- Mickelsen, P.A., Blackman, E., Sparling, P.F., (1982). Ability of Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Neisseria meningitidis, and commensal Neisseria species to obtain iron from lactoferrin. Infection Immunology, 35, 915–920
- Montreuil, J., Tonnelat, J., and Mullet, S., (1960). Preparation and properties of lactosiderophilin (lactotransferrin) of human milk. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 45, 413–421.
- 59. Nelson, K.G., Takahashi, T., Bossert, N.L., Walmer, D.K., and Mclachlan, J.A., (1991). Epidermal growth factor re-places estrogen in the stimulation of female genital-tract growth and differentation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 88, 21–25.
- Niemela, A., Kulomaa, M., Vija, P., Tuohimaa, P., Saarikoski, S., (1989). *Lactoferrin in human amniotic fluid*. Human Reproduction, 4, 99–101.
- 61. Omata, Y., Satake, M., Maeda, R., Saito, A., Shimazaki, K., Yamauchi, K., Uzuka, Y., Tanabe, S., Sarashina, T., and Mikami, T., (2001). *Reduction of the infectivity of Toxoplasma gondii and Eimeria stiedai*

sporozoites by treatment with bovine laktoferricin. The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science, 63, 187–190.

- 62. Orsi, N., (2004). *The antimicrobial activity of lactoferrin: current status and perspectives.* Biometals, 17, 189–196.
- 63. Ratledge, C., and Dover, L.G., (2000). *Iron metabolism in pathogenic bacteria*. Annual Review of Microbiology, 54, 881–941.
- Richie, E.R., Hilliard, J.K., Gilmore, R. and, Gillespie, D.J., (1987). Human milk-derived lactoferrin inhibits mitogen and alloantigen induced human lymphocyte proliferation. Journal of Reproductive Immunology, 12, 137–148.
- Rossi, P., Giansanti, F., Boffi, A., Ajello, M., Valenti, P., Chiancone, E., and Antonini, G., (2002). Ca²⁺ binding to bovine lactoferrin enhances protein stability and influences the release of bacterial lipopolysaccharide. Biochemistry and Cell Biology, 80, 41–48.
- Sanchez, L., Calvo, M., and Brock, J.H., (1992). *Biological role of lactoferrin*. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 67, 657– 661.
- 67. Saito, N., Takemori, N., Hirai, K., Onodera, R., Watanabe, S., and Namiki, M., (1993). Ultrastructural localization of lactoferrin in the granules other than typical secondary granules of human neutrophils.Human Cell, 6, 42–48.
- Schryvers, A.B., Morris, L.J., (1988a). Identification and characterization of the human lactoferrin-binding protein from Neisseria meningitides. Infection Immunology, 56, 1144–1149
- 69. Schryvers, A.B., Morris, L.J., (1988b). Identification and characterization of the transferrin receptor from Neisseria meningitides. Molecular Microbiology, 2, 281–288
- 70. Schryvers, A.B., Lee, B.C., (1989). Comparative analysis of the transferrin and lactoferrin binding proteins in the family Neisseriae. Can J Microbiology, vol.3, 35, 409–415
- 71. Scott, P.H., (1989). Enzyme immunoassay of lactoferrin in newborn term infants: reference values and influence of diet. Annals of Clinical Biochemistry, 26, 407–411.
- 72. Sherman, M.P.,Bennett, S.H., Hwang, F.F.,Yu, C., (2004). Neonatal small bowel epithelia: enhancing anti-bacterial defense with lactoferrin and Lactobacillus GG. Biometals, 17, 285–289.

- 73. Singh, P.K., Parsek, M.R., Greenberg, E.P., Welsh, M.J., (2002). A component of innate immunity prevents bacterial biofilm development. Nature, 417, 552–555.
- 74. Sinkora, J., Samankova, P., Kummer, V., Leva, L., Maskova, J., Rehakova, Z., Faldyna, M., (2007). Commercially available rabbit anti-human polyclonal antisera as a useful tool for immune system studies in veterinary species. Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology, 119, 156–162.
- Sorensen, M., Sorensen, S.P.L., (1939). *The* proteins in whey. C. R. ab.Carlsberg, 23, 55– 99.
- 76. Stojiljkovic, I., Srinivasan, N., (1997). Neisseria meningitidis tonB, exbB, and exbD genes: ton- dependent utilization of proteinbound iron in Neisseriae. Journal of Bacteriology vol.3, 179, 805–812
- Suzuki, Y.A., Lopez, V., and Lonnerdal, B., (2005). *Mammalian lactoferrin receptors: structure and function*. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 62, 2560–2575.
- Sykes, J.A., Thomas, M.J.,Goldie, D.J., and Turner, G.M., (1982). *Plasma lactoferrin levels in pregnancy and cystic fibrosis.* Clinica Chimica Acta, 122, 385–393.
- 79. Tachezy, J., Kulda, J., Bahnikova, I., Suchan, P., Razga, J., Schrevel J., (1996). *Tritrichomonas foetus: iron acquisition from lactoferrin and transferrin.* Experimental Parasitology, 83, 216–228.
- Teng, C.T., Beard, C., Gladwell, W., (2002). Differential expression and estrogen response of lactoferrin gene in the female reproductive tract of mouse, rat and hamster. Biology of Reproduction, 67, 1439–1449.
- Valenti, P., and Antonini, G., (2005). Lactoferrin: an important host defense against microbial and viral attack. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 62, 2576–2587.
- 82. Valenti, P., and Antonini, G., (2005). Lactoferrin: an important host defense against microbial and viral attack. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 62, 2576–2587.
- 83. Van Snick, J.L., Masson, P.L., and Heremans, J.F., (1974). *The involvement of lactoferrin in the hyposideremia of acute inflammation*. The Journal of Experimental Medicine, 140, 1068–1084.
- 84. Ward, P.P., Zhou, X., and Conneely, O.M., (1996). Cooperative interactions between the amino- a carboxyl-terminal lobes contribute to the unique iron-binding stability of lactoferrin. The Journal of Biological Chemistry, 271, 12790–12794.

- Ward, P.P., MendozaMeneses, M., Mulac-Jericevic, B., Cunningham, G.A., Saucedo-Cardenas, O., Teng, C.T., and Conneely, O.M., (1999). *Restricted spatiotemporal expression of lactoferrin during murine embryonic development*. Endocrinology, 140, 1852–1860.
- Ward, P.P., Paz, E., and Conneely, O.M., (2005). *Multifunctional roles of lactoferrin: a critical overview*. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 62, 2540–2548.
- Ward, P.P, Paz, E, and Conneely, O. M., (2006). *Multifunctional roles of lactoferrin: a critical overview*. Cellular & Molecular Life Science, vol.22, 62, 2540-2548.
- Welty, F.K., Smith, K.L., and Schanbacher, F.L., (1976). Lactoferrin concentration during involution of the bovine mammary gland. Journal of Dairy Science, 59, 224– 231.
- 89. Wolf, J.S., Li, D., Taylor, R.J., and O'malley, B.W. Jr., (2003).*Lactoferrin inhibits growth* of malignant tumors of the head and neck. ORL. Journal for OtoRhinoLaryngology and Its Related Specialties, 65, 245–249.
- 90. Yanaihara, A., Toma, Y., Saito, H., and Yanaihara, T., (2000). Cell proliferation effect of lactoferrin in human endometrial stroma cells. Molecular Human Reproduction, 6, 469–473.
- 91. Yi, M., Kaneko, S., Yu, D.Y., and Murakami, S., (1997). *Hepatitis C virus envelope* proteins bind lactoferrin. Journal of Virology, 71, 5997–6002.
- Yu, R.H., Schryvers, A.B., (2002). Bacterial lactoferrin receptors: insights from characterizing the Moraxella bovis receptors. Biochemistry and Cell Biology, 80, 81–90.